

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/886,255	DENNO, SATOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Meek	2637	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Jacob Meek (USPTO).

(3) _____.

(2) Brad Lytle (Reg.#40,073).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2005

Time: 10:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

26 - 29

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Needed to correct dependency of claims 26 - 29. Following amendment, they were dependent from cancelled claims. Determined proper dependency for claims. Claims 26 and 28 will be amended to depend from claim 18. Claims 27 and 29 will be amended to depend from claim 19..